

---

ERIC DE STURLER  
Swiss Center for Scientific Computing SCSC-ETHZ  
Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich  
ETH Zentrum, RZ F-11  
CH-8092 Zurich, Switzerland

+41-1-632 5566  
sturler@scsc.ethz.ch

## Inner-outer Methods with Deflation for Linear Systems with Multiple Right Hand Sides

ERIC DE STURLER

We will discuss inner-outer iterative methods with deflation for the solution of non-Hermitian complex linear systems with multiple right hand sides, and an application to problems that

arise in lattice QCD [2]. The outer method is formed by GCR, which computes the optimal approximation over a set of (outer) search vectors. The inner method computes new search vectors by approximately solving the residual equation with a deflated operator. The deflation is computed using the approximate inverse from the outer GCR iteration [3, 4]. If we use a few steps (say five) of GMRES [7] as inner method the resulting scheme converges almost as fast as full GMRES, however at much lower cost. Other inner methods, like BiCGSTAB [8], also perform well, combining an optimal outer iteration with a cheap, short recurrence, inner method. Moreover, for each new right hand side we can reuse the approximation to the inverse computed for previous right hand sides and also improve the deflation at each iteration. This leads to a large reduction in the total number of iterations, while still solving for only one right hand side at a time.

We will briefly outline the method for a single right hand side [3]. The extension to multiple right hand sides is then straightforward. We solve the system  $Ax = b$ .

After  $k$  outer-iteration steps without truncation we have the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} C_k^H C_k &= I_k, & AU_k &= C_k, \\ r_k &= (I - C_k C_k^H)b, & x_k &= U_k C_k^H b. \end{aligned}$$

If we now make  $m$  (non-fixed) inner GMRES steps with  $(I - C_k C_k^H)A$  followed by an outer step we have

$$\begin{aligned} AV_m &= C_k C_k^H AV_m + V_{m+1} \bar{H}_m, \quad \text{where } C_k^H V_m = 0, \\ c_{k+1} &= V_{m+1} \bar{H}_m y / \|V_{m+1} \bar{H}_m y\|, \\ u_{k+1} &= (V_m y - U_k C_k^H AV_m y) / \|V_{m+1} \bar{H}_m y\|, \\ r_{k+1} &= r_k - c_{k+1} C_{k+1}^H r_k = r_k - V_{m+1} \bar{H}_m y, \\ x_{k+1} &= x_k + u_{k+1} C_{k+1}^H r_k, \end{aligned}$$

where  $y$  is chosen to minimize the (inner GMRES) residual. It is easy to show that this leads to the optimal approximation to  $A^{-1}b$  over  $range(U_k) \oplus range(V_m)$  in minimum residual sense [4]. Instead of GMRES we can also use other methods for the inner iteration, e.g. BiCGSTAB, where we also use the approximate inverse from the outer GCR for deflation to improve the convergence. After some number of inner iterations an outer step is computed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} c_{k+1} &= r_k^{outer} - r_k^{inner} / \|r_k^{outer} - r_k^{inner}\|, \\ u_{k+1} &= x_k^{inner} / \|r_k^{outer} - r_k^{inner}\|, \\ r_{k+1} &= r_k - c_{k+1} C_{k+1}^H r_k, \\ x_{k+1} &= x_k + u_{k+1} C_{k+1}^H r_k. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that the update of the outer residual and approximate solution with  $c_{k+1}$  and  $u_{k+1}$  are the same whether we use GMRES or some other method for the inner iteration. In fact one may also consider the outer iteration combined with the inner deflation as a way to improve the convergence of another iterative scheme. The variant with GMRES (typically for a small number of steps, say five or ten) as inner method generally leads to a convergence very close to that of full GMRES but at a much lower cost. Apart from updating the matrices  $U_k$  and  $C_k$  as indicated above, we can also add additional vectors to the set of outer vectors to

improve the convergence further, e.g. eigenvectors corresponding to a suitable part of the spectrum.

Obviously, the approximate inverse from the outer GCR can be used to compute good initial approximations for successive right hand sides and to improve the convergence in the inner method for successive right hand sides. If the total number of iterations becomes very large, we 'truncate' the matrices  $U_k$  and  $C_k$  by making suitable combinations of the vectors, that is, we set  $U_l = U_k W_l$ , and  $C_l = C_k W_l$  with  $W_l^H W_l = I_l$ , and  $l < k$ . We will discuss several strategies for choosing  $W_l$ . The overall scheme leads to an efficient method that can combine the information from the iterations for successive right hand sides, while still solving for one right hand side at a time (in contrast to block methods). Therefore, the same amount of memory is needed independent of the number of right hand sides. It also allows us to improve the convergence for right hand sides that are not known before a previous system has been solved.

| rhs | GCRO(5) | BiCG $\gamma_5$ |
|-----|---------|-----------------|
| 1   | 738     | 803             |
| 2   | 1097    | 1604            |
| 3   | 1379    | 2393            |
| 4   | 1681    | 3277            |
| 5   | 2002    | 4074            |
| 6   | 2353    | 4862            |
| 7   | 2702    | 5653            |
| 8   | 3066    | 6437            |
| 9   | 3427    | 7242            |
| 10  | 3789    | 8039            |
| 11  | 4154    | 8829            |
| 12  | 4514    | 9611            |

Table 1: Cumulative iteration counts (matrix-vector products) for GCRO(5) and BiCG $\gamma_5$  for twelve right hand sides

We apply these methods to problems arising in Lattice Quantum Chromo-Dynamics [2], where the matrix is of the form  $A \equiv (I - \kappa M)$ , and we solve for a small multiple of twelve right hand sides. In the 'simple' case, where  $\kappa$  is real, several methods with cheap iterations still perform well. One important example of such a method is BiCG where one exploits the fact that a Hermitian (but not positive) matrix  $P$  exists such that  $AP$  is Hermitian and  $PP = I$ , and hence  $A^H = PAP$ . So BiCG can be implemented very cheaply with an appropriate choice of the starting residuals [5, 6, 1]. If  $P$  would be positive definite, this BiCG would actually amount to CG with a special inner product. Although this is not the case, the convergence of the method is nearly optimal. In Table 1 we compare the convergence of this special BiCG (BiCG $\gamma_5$ ) with that of the method outlined above with 5 steps of GMRES as inner method (GCRO(5)) and a maximum of 100 outer vectors. We give the cumulative iteration counts to reach a relative reduction of the residual norm of  $1.0e - 10$  for twelve right hand sides. In the 'harder' case  $\kappa$  is complex and most of the methods with cheap iterations do not converge anymore (most notably BiCG $\gamma_5$ ). However, the nested methods still converge well. We will discuss different strategies for selecting vectors from the inner iteration to be kept in the outer iteration to improve the effect of the deflation, and better truncation schemes. Also the possibility to combine different methods at different stages will

be discussed. For example, one could use GMRES as inner method for the first two right hand sides, to compute accurate eigenvector approximations to be added to the set of outer vectors, and then switch to use BiCGSTAB or BiCGSTAB(k) for the other right hand sides.

## Bibliography

- [1] A. Borici and P. De Forcrand Fast Krylov space methods for calculation of Quark Propagator. In Proceedings of Physics Computing '94, August 1994, Lugano, Switzerland.
- [2] P. De Forcrand. Progress on lattice QCD algorithms. IPS Research Report No. 95-24, Interdisciplinary Project Center for Supercomputing, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology, Zurich, Switzerland, 1995. To appear in Nuclear Physics B (LAT95 Proceedings).
- [3] E. De Sturler and D.R. Fokkema. Nested Krylov methods and preserving the orthogonality. In N. Duane Melson, T. A. Manteuffel, and S. F. McCormick, editors, *Sixth Copper Mountain Conference on Multigrid Methods*, NASA Conference Publication 3224, Part 1, pages 111–125, Hampton, VA, USA, 1993. NASA Langley Research Center.
- [4] E. De Sturler. Nested Krylov methods based on GCR. Technical Report 93-50, Faculty of Technical Mathematics and Informatics, Delft University of Technology, Delft, The Netherlands, 1993. Accepted for publication in Journal of Comp. and Appl. Mathematics, North-Holland.
- [5] R. W. Freund. Lanczos-type algorithms for structured non-Hermitian eigenvalue problems. In J. David Brown, Moody T. Chu, Donald C. Ellison, and Robert J. Plemmons, editors, *Proceedings of the Cornelius Lanczos International Centenary Conference*, SIAM Proceedings Series, pages 243–245, Philadelphia, PA 19103-5052, USA, 1994. SIAM Publications.
- [6] A. Frommer et al. Preprint, available from hep-lat/9504020
- [7] Y. Saad and M. Schultz. GMRES: A generalized minimal residual algorithm for solving nonsymmetric linear systems. *SIAM J. Sci. Statist. Comput.*, 7:856–869, 1986.
- [8] H. A. Van der Vorst. BI-CGSTAB: A fast and smoothly converging variant of BI-CG for the solution of nonsymmetric linear systems. *SIAM J. Sci. Statist. Comput.*, 13:631–644, 1992.