



joint work with Hannah Larson and Jim Bryan

at a crossroads of mathematics

What is Bott Periodicity?

### - one of the fundamental results in topology and K-theory

- so fundamental that it looks like it comes from algebraic geometry

You may have heard of it in the following context: Let OLOO) be the "infinite orthogonal group"  $\pi_{0}(0(\infty)) = \mathbb{Z}/2$  $\pi_{1}(0(69)) = \mathbb{Z}/_{2}$ O(100) approximates  $\Pi_{2}(\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O})) = 0$ 0(DO).  $\pi_3(\mathcal{O}(\infty)) = \mathbb{Z}$  $\Pi_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{O}(\mathbf{M})) = \mathbf{O}$  $\pi_{<}(0(\infty)) = 0$  $\pi_{(0}(0(\infty)) = 0$  $\pi_7(O(\infty)) = \mathbb{Z}$  and then it repeats mod 8. (and similarly for 5p(00))



### Plan for the hour:

first third:  
"complex" Bott periodicity:  

$$\Omega^2(BU) \xrightarrow{\sim} BU$$
  
statement and proof  
second third: defining the words  
in the statement and proof  
final third:  
"real"  
Bott periodicity  
 $a' = 0$   
 $a' = 0$   

### Our first goal:





What do I mean by GL?

GL: - colimit of Gl(n)'s, - think "infinite matrix, mostly identity"

think "finite matrix,
 agnostic about the
 size"



 $\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ 



BGLLM) is an Artin stack

### BGL(n) - BGL(n+i) translates to:

## take a rank n vector bundle and $\oplus$ a trivial bundle





Answer if  $\pi_* \mathcal{E}$  if  $\mathcal{E}$  is "nonnegative" 📈 = generated by global sections  $= \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}(Q_n) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}(Q_n) \qquad Q_i \ge 0$ ) we were agnostic about the rank of E. What if we pushed forward too instead? Answer:  $\pi_{x}(\mathcal{E} \oplus \Theta) = \pi_{x}\mathcal{E} \oplus \pi_{a}\Theta$  $= \Pi, \mathcal{E} \Theta$ 2) More seriously: What if E weren't positive? -

### **Answer:** twist it until it is! Use $\pi_* \in (k)$ , instead.

To make this work:

Proposition (false as stated):  
If 
$$\mathcal{E}(-1)$$
 is nonnegative then  $T_* \mathcal{E}(-1) = T_* \mathcal{E}$ .  
Proof:  
 $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}|_{R_0} \rightarrow 0$   
 $0 \rightarrow T_* \mathcal{E}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}|_{R_0} \rightarrow 0$   
 $T_* \mathcal{E}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}|_{R_0} \rightarrow 0$   
 $T_* \mathcal{E}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}|_{R_0} \rightarrow 0$ 

J2 BGL - BGL



### This construction is basically reversible!

Why? How?

Leonardo Mihalcea to the rescue!



Here come three pages explaining this. (Stick with me, or at least come back after.)

Consider the vector bundles 
$$\mathcal{E}$$
 on  $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ , satisfying:  
- degree d, rank n  $\mathcal{E} = \bigoplus \mathbb{Q}(+)$ .  
- "nonnegative":  $h^{1}(\mathcal{E}(-1)) = 0 \implies$   
 $h^{0}(\mathcal{E}(-1)) = dn \quad (A = H^{0}(\mathcal{E}(-1)), \quad h^{0}(\mathcal{E}) = (d+1)n$   
- "trivialized @  $\infty^{\circ}$ . fiber over so is identified  
with  $\mathcal{U}(of rank n)$   
- "trivialized @  $\infty^{\circ}$ . fiber over so is identified  
with  $\mathcal{U}(of rank n)$   
- So far: a dense open subset of  $\mathfrak{N}^{2}_{d}(BdL_{n})$   
Now add:  
- choice of sections of  $\mathcal{E}$  giving  $U$ :  
 $H^{0}(\mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow H^{0}(\mathcal{E}|_{\infty}) = \mathcal{U}$  (affine  
bundle)

## Central theme of mathematics: Turn complicated things into linear algebra

### Strömme : We can recover E from the following linear algebra data. - think: H°(E(-1)) think: A is a vector space of dimension dry $\mathcal{E}_{Po}$ ~ $\mathcal{U}$ is a fixed vector space of dimension n. A D J j Let $\underline{A} = A \otimes O_{\mathbf{P}'}$ , $\underline{U} = U \otimes O_{\mathbf{P}'}$ be Chuose two linear trivial bundles on P maps: $0 \rightarrow \underline{A}(-\underline{n} \xrightarrow{\underline{v}} \underline{V} \oplus \underline{V} \rightarrow \underline{C} \rightarrow 0$ U $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} Id_{A}x_{0} - \alpha x_{1} \\ j x_{1} \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{lopen condition:} \\ \text{coker } \sigma \text{ should} \end{array}$ "quiver" be a bundle)

<u>Translation 1:</u> The moduli space of such vector bundles <u>is</u> the space of such matrices (satisfying this explicit open condition) modulo the group GL(A). <u>Translation 2</u>:

 $\int_{0}^{2} BGL(n) = open in affine bundle over BGL(n)$ 

Translation 3:

$$0 \rightarrow \pi^* (\pi, \mathcal{E}(-1)) (-1) \rightarrow \pi^* \pi, \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0$$

Part II Making this make sense. We want to work in a setting with some kind of algebro-geometric spaces Properties:

- work over Z, or C, or k, or R (any reasonable base), and be able to change base
- if /C, want to be able to take homotopy types (so as to generalize topological statements)
   also: Chow rings, Hodge theory, Grothendieck ring, étale homotopy, etc.
- we need Artin stacks, and colimits

In a nutshell:

- start with reasonable spaces (smooth irreducible nice Artin stacks, if you care) - define when a map is "an isomorphism up to high codimension" / "highly connected": a class of morphisms "Iso codim<sub>k</sub>". Definition: (i)  $V \rightarrow Y$  vector bundles  $\xi \in isocodim k$ sections

  - (3) x y = 2 out of 3 in socialink stat is too.



(1) [whitehead condition]

### Maps of Cauchy sequences that are in isocodim<sub>k</sub>. If k are declared to be isomorphisms

This definition is contrived to make the proof work without change.

### (with Jim Bryan)



Maps P' to Sp/GL:  $o \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\vee} \rightarrow \mathcal{U} \oplus \mathcal{U}^{\vee} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow o$  self-dual  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ T & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  (bundles on  $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ ) 0 From this, I need to tell you a vector space with a symmetric pairing.  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}'(-i) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(-i) \oplus \mathcal{U}'(-i) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(-i) \rightarrow 0.$ Take the long exact sequence in cohomology.  $\begin{array}{ccc} & & H^{\prime}(\mathcal{E}(-1)) \longrightarrow & H^{\prime}(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}(-1)) \longrightarrow & \\ & &$ 

 $A \longrightarrow A^{\vee}$ 







# Conclusion:

The maps in Bott Periodicity, which often seem to be thought to need to be defined by analytic means, in fact are completely and naturally algebraic and elementary.

