Lectures on quantum K theory of flag manifolds (1)

Leonardo Mihalcea (Virginia Tech)

UIUC

Lecture notes, slides, and homework, available at https://personal.math.vt.edu//lmihalce/slides.html

June, 2023

Where are we and where we are going ?



A way too early example in QK(Gr(3, 6)) (here deg q = 6):

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{O}^{(3,2,1)} \circ \mathcal{O}^{(2,1)} &= q \mathcal{O}^{(3)} + 2q \mathcal{O}^{(2,1)} - 2q \mathcal{O}^{(2,2)} \\ &- 2q \mathcal{O}^{(3,1)} + q \mathcal{O}^{(3,2)} + q \mathcal{O}^{(1,1,1)} - 2q \mathcal{O}^{(2,1,1)} + q \mathcal{O}^{(2,2,1)} + q \mathcal{O}^{(3,1,1)} \\ &- q \mathcal{O}^{(3,2,1)} + \mathcal{O}^{(3,3,3)}. \end{split}$$

Use the A. Buch's Equivariant Schubert Calculator available at https://sites.math.rutgers.edu/ asbuch/equivcalc/

K theory

X complex projective manifold. The K-theory

$$\mathcal{K}(X) = \frac{\{[E] : E \to X \text{ vector bundle }\}}{[E] = [F] + [G]},$$

for any short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow E \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$. Addition and multiplication are given by

$$[E] + [F] := [E \oplus F]; \quad [E] \cdot [F] := [E \otimes F].$$

There is a pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot
angle : \mathcal{K}(X) imes \mathcal{K}(X)
ightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ defined by

$$\langle [E], [F] \rangle = \int_X E \otimes F = \sum (-1)^i \dim H^i(X; E \otimes F).$$

If $Y \subset X$ closed subvariety, \mathcal{O}_Y has a **finite** resolution by vector bundles, thus $[\mathcal{O}_Y] \in K(X)$. More generally, any **coherent sheaf** \mathcal{F} has such a resolution, and it gives $[\mathcal{F}] \in K(X)$. The K theory classes $[\mathcal{O}_Y], [\mathcal{F}]$ are called **Grothendieck classes**.

More generally, for arbitrary X:

- The (Grothendieck) ring of vector bundles = $K^{\circ}(X)$;
- The (Grothendieck) group of coherent sheaves = $K_{\circ}(X)$;
- If X is smooth $K^{\circ}(X) = K_{\circ}(X) = K(X)$;

$K(pt), K(\mathbb{P}^1)$ (part I)

Intersections

Lemma (Fulton-Pragacz, Brion)

Let Y, Z be equidimensional Cohen-Macaulay subvarieties of a nonsingular variety X. Assume that the intersection $Y \cap Z$ is proper, i.e., it has the expected dimension dim $Y + \dim Z - \dim X$. Then each component of the scheme theoretic intersection $Y \cap Z$ has the expected dimension and $Y \cap Z$ is Cohen-Macaulay. Furthermore,

 $[\mathcal{O}_Y] \cdot [\mathcal{O}_Z] = [\mathcal{O}_{Y \cap Z}] \quad \in \mathcal{K}(X).$

Example

- Any smooth variety is Cohen-Macaulay.
- Any Schubert variety is Cohen-Macaulay.
- More generally, we have a Kleiman's transversality statement: if $Y \subset X$, then for general $g_1, \ldots, g_k \in G$, $Y \cap g_1 X^{w_1} \cap g_2 X^{w_2} \cap \ldots \cap g_k X^{w_k}$ is either empty or purely-dimensional, of expected dimension, and Cohen-Macaulay.
- (To be defined later.) The moduli space of stable maps $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(G/P, d)$ is Cohen-Macaulay, because it is locally a smooth variety modulo a finite group.
- Smooth pull-backs preserve the Cohen-Macaulay property.

Example: $K(\mathbb{P}^n)$

Functoriality

- K_o(X) a structure of K^o(X)-module. (Note the strong similarities to cohomology/homology versions!)
- If $f: X \to Y$ is a morphism, there is a **pull-back ring homomorphism**

$$f^*: \mathcal{K}^{\circ}(Y) \to \mathcal{K}^{\circ}(X), \quad [E] \mapsto [f^*E],$$

If f is flat and $Z \subset X$ is a subvariety, then $f^*[\mathcal{O}_Z] = [\mathcal{O}_{f^{-1}(Z)}]$.

• If $f : X \to Y$ is proper, there is a push-forward

$$f_*: \mathcal{K}_{\circ}(X) \to \mathcal{K}_{\circ}(Y), \quad f_*[\mathcal{F}] = \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i [\mathcal{R}^i f_* \mathcal{F}].$$

(This sum is finite, as the higher direct images vanish beyond the dimension of X.)

• The push-forward and pull-back satisfy the usual projection formula:

$$f_*(f^*[E] \otimes [\mathcal{F}]) = [E] \otimes f_*[\mathcal{F}] \in \mathcal{K}(Y).$$

• Integration: Let $p: X \rightarrow pt$ and assume X is proper. Then

$$p_*[\mathcal{F}] = \sum (-1)^i \dim H^i(X;\mathcal{F}) = \chi(X;\mathcal{F}).$$

The Chern character

As usual X is a manifold/smooth variety. The **Chern character**

$$ch: K(X) \to H^*(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}; \quad ch[L] = e^{c_1(L)} = 1 + c_1(L) + c_1(L)^2/2! + \dots$$

where $L \to X$ is a line bundle. For a general vector bundle $E \to X$, the **splitting principle** allows us to assume that $E = L_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus L_r$ is a direct sum of line bundles with Chern roots x_1, \ldots, x_r . Then

$$ch(E) = e^{x_1} + \ldots + e^{x_r}.$$

If $Z \subset X$ is closed and irreducible, then

$$ch(Z) = [Z] + h.o.t.$$

where h.o.t. are terms in cohomological degree strictly larger than $\operatorname{codim} Z$. In other words $ch([\mathcal{O}_Z]) \in \bigoplus_{j \ge i} H^j(X)$, where subscripts denote dimension. The Chern character is always a **ring isomorphism**, if one works over \mathbb{Q} .

Example: $ch(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1))$

The Hirzebruch λ_{v} class

Let $E \to X$ vector bundle of rank r. The **Hirzebruch** λ_y **class** of E is defined by

$$\lambda_y(E) = 1 + y[E] + y^2[\wedge^2 E] + \ldots + y^r[\wedge^r E] \quad \in \mathcal{K}(X)[y].$$

This class is multiplicative: if $0 \rightarrow E_1 \rightarrow E_2 \rightarrow E_3 \rightarrow 0$ is a short exact sequence then

$$\lambda_y(E_1)\cdot\lambda_y(E_3)=\lambda_y(E_2).$$

The class $\lambda_{-1}(E^*)$ is sometimes called the K-theoretic Chern class of *E*, denoted by cK(E). If *L* is a line bundle with first Chern class $c_1(L)$, then

$$ch(\lambda_{-1}(L^*)) = 1 - e^{-c_1(L)} = c_1(L) + h.o.t.$$

Furthermore, the identity

$$(1-e^x)(1-e^y) = (1-e^x) + (1-e^y) - (1-e^{x+y})$$

implies that if L' is another line bundle, then

$$cK(L \oplus L') = cK(L) + cK(L') - cK(L \otimes L'),$$

recovering the formal group law for K theory.

Finally, note that the class $\lambda_{-1}(E)$ appears geometrically as an Euler class: if $E \to X$ is a vector bundle with a general section $s : X \to E$, then the zero locus of s has class

$$[\mathcal{O}_{Z(s)}] = \lambda_{-1}(E^*) \in K(X).$$

THEOREM. Let X be a projective manifold and $E \to X$ a vector bundle on E. Denote by T_X the tangent bundle. Then

$$\chi(X;E) = \int_X ch(E) \cdot Td(T_X),$$

where $Td(T_X)$ denotes the **Todd class** of T_X .

Flag manifolds

In these lectures we consider partial flag manifolds

$$\operatorname{Fl}(i_1,\ldots,i_k;n) = \{F_{i_1} \subset \ldots \subset F_{i_k} \subset \mathbb{C}^n\} = G/P,$$

with $G := GL_n$, B := Borel subgroup of UT matrices, B^- is the opposite subgroup. Special cases:

$$\operatorname{Gr}(k; n) = \{V \subset \mathbb{C}^n : \dim V = k\} = G/P,$$

the Grassmannian, with P maximal parabolic, and

$$\operatorname{Fl}(n) = \{F_1 \subset \ldots \subset F_{n-1} \subset \mathbb{C}^n\} = G/B,$$

the complete flag manifold. The Weyl group $W = S_n$, and for $P \supset B$, define

$$W_P = \langle s_{i_1}, \ldots, s_{i_k} : s_{i_j} \in P \rangle \leq W$$

and let W^P the set of minimal length representatives in W/W_P .

partitions included in the $k \times (n - k)$ rectangle.

Let $w_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n \\ n & n-1 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $w^{\vee} =$ is the minimal length representative for $w_0 w$ in W^P .

Tautological sequences

Schubert varieties

Fix a flag $F_{\bullet} = \langle e_1 \rangle \subset \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle \subset \ldots \subset \mathbb{C}^n$. Schubert varieties:

• For $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \ldots \ge \lambda_k \ge 0)$, and $\lambda_1 \le n - k$,

$$X^{\lambda} = \{ V \in \operatorname{Gr}(k, n) : \dim V \cap F_{n-k+i-\lambda_i} \ge i \}.$$

- There is also an opposite Schubert variety X_{λ} .
- dim X_{λ} = codim X^{λ} = $|\lambda| = \lambda_1 + \ldots + \lambda_k$.
- A similar description for Schubert varieties $X_u, X^u \subset G/P$, for permutations $u \in W^P$:

$$X^{u} = \overline{B^{-}uP/P}; \quad X_{u} = \overline{BuP/P}$$

With these definitions

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} X_u = \operatorname{codim}_{\mathbb{C}} X^u = \ell(u).$$

• The **boundary** of X^w is defined by

$$\partial X^{w} = X^{w} \setminus X^{w,\circ} = \overline{B^{-}uP/P} \setminus B^{-}uP/P; \quad \partial X_{w} = \overline{BwP/P} \setminus BwP/P;$$

Denote

$$\mathcal{O}^{w} = [\mathcal{O}_{X^{w}}], \quad \mathcal{I}^{w} = [\mathcal{O}_{X^{w}}(-\partial X^{w})] = [\mathcal{I}_{\partial X^{w}}] = [\mathcal{O}_{X^{w}}] - [\mathcal{O}_{\partial X^{w}}]$$

where \mathcal{I}^w is the **ideal sheaf** of ∂X^w . The classes of the ideal sheaves of the boundaries $\partial X_w, \partial X^w$ are denoted by $\mathcal{I}_w, \mathcal{I}^w$. Note that

$$\mathcal{O}_w = \mathcal{O}^{w^{\vee}}, \quad \mathcal{O}^w = \mathcal{O}_{w^{\vee}} \text{ and } \mathcal{I}_w = \mathcal{I}^{w^{\vee}}, \quad \mathcal{I}^w = \mathcal{I}_{w^{\vee}}.$$

Example: the ideal sheaves in Grassmannians

Assume that G/P = Gr(k, n) is a Grassmann manifold and let

$$\iota: \operatorname{Gr}(k, n) \to \mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}(\wedge^k \mathbb{C}^n)$$

be the **Plücker embedding**. Then the boundary of Schubert varieties are also Cartier divisors, corresponding to the restriction of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$ to X_w . This implies that for any partition $\lambda \subset k \times (n-k)$,

$$\mathcal{I}^{\lambda} = \mathcal{O}^{\lambda} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Gr}(k,n)}(-1).$$

Combinatorially,

$${\mathcal I}^\lambda = \sum_{\lambda \subset \mu} (-1)^{|\mu/\lambda|} {\mathcal O}^\mu; \quad {\mathcal O}^\lambda = \sum_{\lambda \subset \mu} {\mathcal I}^\mu,$$

where the sums are over partitions $\mu \supset \lambda$ such that μ/λ is a **rook strip**, i.e. the skew shape does not have two boxes in the same row or column. This is a particular case of the **Chevalley formula**.

The Schubert package (X = G/P)

• Schubert basis. The (Grothendieck) classes of the structure/ideal sheaves give bases:

$$\mathcal{K}(X) = \bigoplus_{w \in W^P} \mathbb{Z} \mathcal{O}^w = \bigoplus_{w \in W^P} \mathbb{Z} \mathcal{I}^w.$$

• Duals. The duals of the Schubert classes are the (opposite) boundary classes:

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{v}}, \mathcal{I}^{\mathbf{w}} \rangle = \langle \mathcal{O}^{\mathbf{v}}, \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{w}} \rangle = \delta_{\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}}$$

• Positivity. (Buch, Brion) If $\mathcal{O}^u \cdot \mathcal{O}^v = \sum c^w_{u,v} \mathcal{O}^w$ then

$$(-1)^{\ell(u)+\ell(v)-\ell(w)}c_{u,v}^{w} \geq 0.$$

• **Presentation.** The Whitney relations give a complete ideal of relations. E.g., for X = Gr(k; n) equipped with the tautological sequence $0 \to S \to \mathbb{C}^n \to Q \to 0$,

$$\lambda_y(\mathcal{S}) \cdot \lambda_y(\mathcal{Q}) = \lambda_y(\mathbb{C}^n) = (1+y)^n.$$

- Polynomial representatives. The Grothendieck polynomials represent Schubert classes.
- Functoriality. Let $P \subset Q$ be two parabolic subgroups and $\pi : G/P \to G/Q$ the projection. Then for any $v \in W^P$ and $w \in W^Q$,

$$\pi_*\mathcal{O}_v = \mathcal{O}_{vW_Q}; \quad \pi^*\mathcal{O}^w = \mathcal{O}^w.$$

To emphasize that we utilize a Poincaré dual class rather than its precise formula, we will use the notation $(\mathcal{O}_w)^{\vee} = \mathcal{I}^w$, $(\mathcal{O}^w)^{\vee} = \mathcal{I}_w$.

Topological filtration

Recall that if $Y \subset X$ is irreducible, then

$$ch([\mathcal{O}_Y]) = [Y] + h.o.t.$$

This gives a decreasing (codimension) filtration

$$\mathcal{K}(X) = \mathcal{K}^0(X) \supset \mathcal{K}^1(X) \supset \ldots$$

which gives K(X) a structure of **filtered ring**, in the sense that $\mathcal{K}^{i}(X) \cdot \mathcal{K}^{j}(X) \subset \mathcal{K}^{i+j}(X)$.

Example

In K(Gr(2, 4),

$$\mathcal{O}^{\Box} \cdot \mathcal{O}^{\Box} = \mathcal{O}^{\Box\Box} + \mathcal{O}^{\Box} - \mathcal{O}^{\Box}$$

Why ? Because the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{X^{\square} \cup X^{\square}} \to \mathcal{O}_{X^{\square}} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{X^{\square}} \to \mathcal{O}_{X^{\square} \cap X^{\square}} \to 0.$$

Ideal sheaves and Schubert classes

Theorem

(a) Let X = Fl(n). Then

$${\mathcal I}_w = \sum_{v < w} (-1)^{\ell(w) - \ell(v)} {\mathcal O}_v; \quad {\mathcal O}_w = \sum_{v < w} {\mathcal I}_w.$$

(b) Let X = Gr(k, n). Then for any partition $\lambda \subset k \times (n - k)$,

$${\mathcal I}^\lambda = \sum_{\lambda \subset \mu} (-1)^{|\mu/\lambda|} {\mathcal O}^\mu; \quad {\mathcal O}^\lambda = \sum_{\lambda \subset \mu} {\mathcal I}^\mu,$$

where the sums are over partitions $\mu \supset \lambda$ such that μ/λ is a **rook strip**, *i.e.* the skew shape does not have two boxes in the same row or column.

Example

In Gr(3,7),

$$\mathcal{I}^{\textcircled{}} = \mathcal{O}^{\textcircled{}} + \mathcal{O}^{\textcircled{}} - \mathcal{O}^{\textcircled{}}$$

Note that for Grassmannians this is equivalent to a Chevalley formula:

$${\mathcal I}^\lambda = {\mathcal O}(-1) \cdot {\mathcal O}^\lambda = (1 - {\mathcal O}^\square) \cdot {\mathcal O}^\lambda$$

Positivity

Recall Buch/Brion's positivity theorem in K(G/P): if $\mathcal{O}^{u} \cdot \mathcal{O}^{v} = \sum c_{u,v}^{w} \mathcal{O}^{w}$, then $(-1)^{\ell(u)+\ell(v)-\ell(w)} c_{u,v}^{w} \ge 0$.

The proof relies on a more a general result proved by Brion. A variety X has rational singularities if it has a proper resolution of singularities $\pi : X' \to X$ such that (as sheaves)

$$\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{X'}=\mathcal{O}_X \text{ and } R^i\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{X'}=0, \quad i>0.$$

A variety with rational singularities must be normal and Cohen-Macaulay. Schubert varieties have rational singularities, and so have general intersections of them.

Theorem (Brion.)

Let X = G/P and $Y \subset X$ be a subvariety with rational singularities. Consider the expansion

$$[\mathcal{O}_Y] = \sum a_w \mathcal{O}_w.$$

Then $(-1)^{\ell(w)-\dim Y} a_w \ge 0$.

To prove positivity, apply the theorem to Y equal to the Richardson variety

$$\mathcal{O}_{X^u \cap X_{v^\vee}} = \mathcal{O}^u \cdot \mathcal{O}_{v^\vee} = \mathcal{O}^u \cdot \mathcal{O}^v.$$

Idea of proof

Assume Y is smooth and $X = \mathbb{P}^n$. Then

$$a_w = \chi(Y \cdot (\mathcal{O}^w)^{\vee})) = \chi([\mathcal{O}_Y] \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^i} \cdot \mathcal{O}(-1)).$$

If nonempty, the general intersection is a (possibly disconnected) union of smooth varieties. The **Kodaira vanishing theorem** applied to each component of this intersection implies that

$$\chi([\mathcal{O}_Y] \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^i} \cdot \mathcal{O}(-1)) = (-1)^{\dim Y - n - i} H^{\dim Y - n - i}(Y \cap \mathbb{P}^i; \mathcal{O}(-1))$$

proving the claim.

THANK YOU !

Cominuscule spaces



The node k is cominuscule if the simple root α_k appears with multiplicity 1 in the highest root.