## Two Examples of Solving -u''(x) = f(x)

We wish to solve -u''(x) = f(x) with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions u(0) = u(1) = 0 for three different choices of f. The key idea here is that the smoothness of f will vary in these three examples, which will be reflected in the decay rates of the inner products  $(\psi_n, f)$ .

Let  $L: C_D^2[0,1] \to C[0,1]$  be given by Lu = -u''.

In what follows, denote the nth eigenvalue and eigenfunction of L by

$$\lambda_n = n^2 \pi^2, \qquad \psi_n(x) = \sqrt{2} \sin(n\pi x).$$

Notice that the leading  $\sqrt{2}$  factor in  $\psi_n$  ensures that  $(\psi_n, \psi_n) = 1$ , i.e., it makes  $\psi_n$  a unit vector.

1. Solve -u''(x) = 1, u(0) = u(1) = 0.

We can find the exact solution by just integrating twice and using the boundary parameters to determine the constants of integration. This gives  $u(x) = (x - x^2)/2$ .

However (anticipating time dependent problems to come, like  $u_t = u_{xx}$ ), we will solve this by eigenfunction expansion. First we will consider expansions of f (i.e., the limits of best approximations):

$$1 = f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\psi_n, f)}{(\psi_n, \psi_n)} \psi_n(x)$$

and the solution

$$u(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \frac{(\psi_n, f)}{(\psi_n, \psi_n)} \psi_n(x).$$

For both we must compute

$$(\psi_n, f) = (\sqrt{2}\sin(n\pi x), 1) = \int_0^1 \sqrt{2}\sin(n\pi x) \cdot 1 \, dx = \frac{\sqrt{2}(1 - (-1)^n)}{n\pi}$$

The first few values are:

$$(\psi_1, f) = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\pi}, \quad (\psi_2, f) = 0, \quad (\psi_3, f) = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3\pi}, \quad (\psi_4, f) = 0, \quad (\psi_5, f) = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{5\pi}.$$

Thus we might want to write

$$1 = f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\psi_n, f)}{(\psi_n, \psi_n)} \psi_n(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sqrt{2}(1 - (-1)^n)}{n\pi} (\sqrt{2}\sin(n\pi x))$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2(1 - (-1)^n)}{n\pi} \sin(n\pi x).$$

The right-hand side looks like an absurd way to write f(x) = 1, but you can see that it seems to work. Run lapex1.m.

We can also write the solution as

$$\frac{x-x^2}{2} = u(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \frac{(\psi_n, f)}{(\psi_n, \psi_n)} \psi_n(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2 \pi^2} \frac{\sqrt{2}(1-(-1)^n)}{n\pi} (\sqrt{2}\sin(n\pi x))$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2(1-(-1)^n)}{n^3 \pi^3} \sin(n\pi x).$$

Notice in lapex1.m that the series for u converges so much faster than the series for f! Why? First, note that u satisfies the boundary conditions, but f doesn't (and there is no need for it to do so) – hence the eigenfunctions (which must obey the boundary conditions) can approximate u better than f. Secondly, notice that dividing by  $\lambda_n = n^2 \pi^2$  makes the coefficients in the series decay like  $1/n^3$  in the series for u, instead of 1/n as in the series for f. Faster decay of the coefficients means faster convergence.

2. Solve -u''(x) = f(x), u(0) = u(1) = 0, where

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x, & x \in [0, 1/2]; \\ 1 - x, & x \in [1/2, 1]. \end{cases}$$

Notice that this function f is in C[0, 1] but not  $C^1[0, 1]$ , because the derivative of f is discontinuous at 1/2. However, f(0) = f(1) = 0, so you might have some hope that the eigenfunctions will do a better job of approximating f, since  $\psi_n(0) = \psi_n(1) = 0$ .

Can you find the solution exactly? (Integrate twice on each half of the domain; you will have four constants (two on each domain). Use the boundary conditions to set two of the integration constants; enforce continuity of u(1/2) and u'(1/2) to find the other two.

One can now compute

$$(\psi_n, f) = \int_0^1 \psi_n(x) f(x) \, dx = \frac{8\sqrt{2} \cos(n\pi/4) \sin^3(n\pi/4)}{n^2 \pi^2}.$$

The first few values are:

$$(\psi_1, f) = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\pi^2}, \quad (\psi_2, f) = 0, \quad (\psi_3, f) = -\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{9\pi^2}, \quad (\psi_4, f) = 0, \quad (\psi_5, f) = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{25\pi^2}.$$

Now the solution is

$$u(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \frac{(\psi_n, f)}{(\psi_n, \psi_n)} \psi_n(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{16 \cos(n\pi/4) \sin^3(n\pi/4)}{n^4 \pi^4} \sin(n\pi x),$$

which converges even faster than in the last case, because the series for f converged even faster. Notice (by running lapex2.m) that f' is not continuous as x = 1/2, but the solution u indeed appears smooth.