

ON THE ORDER SEQUENCE OF AN EMBEDDING OF THE REE CURVE

DANE C. SKABELUND

ABSTRACT. In this paper we compute the Weierstrass order-sequence associated with a certain linear series on the Deligne-Lusztig curve of Ree type. As a result, we determine that the set of Weierstrass points of this linear series consists entirely of \mathbb{F}_q -rational points.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a smooth, geometrically irreducible, projective algebraic curve defined over a finite field \mathbb{F}_q of characteristic p , and let

$$m(t) = t^n + a_{n-1}t^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1t + a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$$

be the square-free part of the characteristic polynomial of the Frobenius endomorphism Fr_q on the Jacobian of X . Then for any $P, P_0 \in X$ with P_0 an \mathbb{F}_q -rational point, we have the fundamental linear equivalence [9]

$$m(\text{Fr}_q)(P) = \text{Fr}_q^n(P) + \cdots + a_1 \text{Fr}_q(P) + a_0 P \sim m(1)P_0. \quad (1)$$

Thus for $m = |m(1)|$, the linear series $\mathcal{D}_X := |mP_0|$, sometimes called the *Frobenius linear series*, is independent of the choice of rational point P_0 , and is completely determined by the zeta function $Z_X(t)$.

The linear series \mathcal{D}_X is a useful tool for studying curves with many rational points. It has been used to study \mathbb{F}_q -*maximal curves*, that is, curves defined over \mathbb{F}_q whose number of \mathbb{F}_q -rational points attains the Hasse-Weil bound [6], [1], [4], [5], as well as \mathbb{F}_q -*optimal curves*, which have the greatest number of \mathbb{F}_q -rational points among curves of their genus [7].

Notable among the latter group are the Hermitian, Suzuki, and Ree curves, which are the Deligne-Lusztig curves associated to the simple groups 2A_2 , 2B_2 , and 2G_2 . These curves satisfy strong uniqueness properties. Each is characterized among curves over \mathbb{F}_q by its genus, number of rational points, and automorphism group [8]. Moreover, it can be shown that the genus and number of rational points alone are sufficient to characterize the Hermitian and Suzuki curves [12], [7]. Whether this is also the case for the Ree curve remains an open question—one which was the initial motivation for the work in the current paper.

For fixed $s \geq 1$, let $q_0 = 3^s$ and $q = 3^{2s+1}$. The Ree curve $X = X(q)$ over \mathbb{F}_q has a singular affine model given by the two equations

$$y^q - y = x^{q_0}(x^q - x), \quad z^q - z = x^{q_0}(y^q - y), \quad (2)$$

and has genus $g = \frac{3}{2}q_0(q-1)(q+q_0+1)$ and $N = q^3 + 1$ points defined over \mathbb{F}_q . Weil-Serre's explicit formulas can be used to show that X is \mathbb{F}_q -optimal, and that

any curve defined over \mathbb{F}_q with this g and N has L -polynomial

$$L_X(t) = (1 + 3q_0t + qt^2)^{q_0(q^2-1)}(1 + qt^2)^{\frac{1}{2}q_0(q-1)(q+3q_0+1)}. \quad (3)$$

Since the characteristic polynomial of Fr_q is $t^{2g}L_X(1/t)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} m(t) &= (t^2 + 3q_0t + q)(t^2 + q) \\ &= t^4 + 3q_0t^3 + 2qt^2 + 3qq_0t + q^2 \end{aligned}$$

and $\mathcal{D}_X = |mP_0|$ with $m = m(1) = 1 + 3q_0 + 2q + 3qq_0 + q^2$.

There is a subseries $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}_X$ of projective dimension 13 which is invariant under $\text{Aut}(X)$. In [3], Duursma and Eid show that \mathcal{D} is very ample, giving a smooth embedding of X in \mathbb{P}^{13} . They also find 105 equations describing the image of this embedding, and use these to compute the Weierstrass semigroup at a rational point when $s = 1$. In this case, it follows from their work that $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_X$ is a complete linear series. Whether or not \mathcal{D} is complete for $s \geq 2$ is unknown at present. In [10], Kane also gives an embedding of X in \mathbb{P}^{13} that arises from the abstract theory of Deligne–Lusztig varieties. The exact relationship between the two embeddings is not immediately clear.

In this paper we determine the order sequence of \mathcal{D} , that is, the orders of vanishing of sections of \mathcal{D} at a general point. Equivalently, these are the intersection multiplicities of hyperplane sections of X embedded in \mathbb{P}^{13} at a general point. We prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *The orders of \mathcal{D} are*

$$0, 1, q_0, 2q_0, 3q_0, q, q + q_0, 2q, qq_0, qq_0 + q_0, qq_0 + q, 2qq_0, 3qq_0, q^2.$$

Since $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}_X$, these form a subset of the orders of \mathcal{D}_X .

As a consequence, we show in the final section that the Weierstrass points of \mathcal{D} consist of the \mathbb{F}_q -rational points of X .

Acknowledgements: I would like to thank the anonymous referee for his/her detailed comments which improved the exposition of this paper.

2. BACKGROUND

The theory of Weierstrass points in characteristic p was developed first by F.K. Schmidt [13]. We briefly give the necessary definitions and results on the subject following the presentation in the paper of Stöhr and Voloch [15].

Given a base-point-free linear series \mathcal{D} on X of dimension r and degree d , and P a point of X , the (\mathcal{D}, P) -orders consist of the sequence

$$0 = j_0(P) < j_1(P) < \cdots < j_r(P) \leq d$$

of integers j_i such that there is a hyperplane in \mathcal{D} intersecting P with multiplicity equal to j_i . These are the same for all but finitely many points $P \in X$, called \mathcal{D} -Weierstrass points. The generic values of the $j_i(P)$ are the \mathcal{D} -orders

$$0 = \epsilon_0 < \epsilon_1 < \cdots < \epsilon_r.$$

This order sequence may be computed by choosing the ϵ_i lexicographically smallest so that

$$(D_x^{\epsilon_i} f_0 : D_x^{\epsilon_i} f_1 : \cdots : D_x^{\epsilon_i} f_r), \quad i = 1, \dots, r,$$

are linearly independent in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q(X)}^r$, where f_0, f_1, \dots, f_r is a basis for \mathcal{D} , and the D_x^i are Hasse derivatives taken with respect to some fixed separating variable x .

The Hasse derivatives D_x^i are defined on $\mathbb{F}_q(x)$ by

$$D_x^i x^j = \binom{j}{i} x^{j-i},$$

and extend to derivations on $\mathbb{F}_q(X)$ satisfying the properties

$$D_x^k(fg) = \sum_{i+j=k} (D_x^i f)(D_x^j g) \quad \text{and} \quad D_x^k f^p = \begin{cases} (D_x^{k/p} f)^p & \text{if } p \mid k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for any $f, g \in \mathbb{F}_q(X)$. In view of this second property, it will be often be convenient to write $D_x^{k/q}$ for k/q is a rational number with denominator a power of p , adopting the convention that $D_x^{k/q} = 0$ when k/q is not an integer. Furthermore, when the choice of separating variable x is clear from context, we omit the subscript and write simply D^i .

The following “ p -adic criterion” for \mathcal{D} -orders is quite useful.

Lemma 2 ([15], Corollary 1.9). *If ϵ is a \mathcal{D} -order and $\binom{\epsilon}{\mu} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, then μ is also a \mathcal{D} -order.*

By Lucas’s Theorem, the condition $\binom{\epsilon}{\mu} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ in the lemma is equivalent to saying that the coefficients in the p -adic expansion of ϵ are greater than or equal to those in the expansion of μ . When this is the case we write $\mu \leq_p \epsilon$. This defines a partial order on the nonnegative integers.

The (q) -Frobenius orders $0 = \nu_0 < \nu_1 < \dots < \nu_{r-1}$ of \mathcal{D} form a subsequence of the order sequence $\{\epsilon_i\}$, and are defined lexicographically smallest so that

$$\begin{aligned} & (f_0^q : f_1^q : \dots : f_r^q), \\ & (D_x^{\nu_0} f_0 : D_x^{\nu_0} f_1 : \dots : D_x^{\nu_0} f_r), \\ & \vdots \\ & (D_x^{\nu_{r-1}} f_0 : D_x^{\nu_{r-1}} f_1 : \dots : D_x^{\nu_{r-1}} f_r) \end{aligned}$$

are linearly independent in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q(X)}^r$. There is exactly one \mathcal{D} -order ϵ_I which is omitted by the sequence $\{\nu_i\}$. The geometric significance of the index I is as follows: it is the smallest $i \geq 0$ such that, for general P , the image of P under the Frobenius endomorphism lies in the i th osculating space at P . The Frobenius orders are closely connected with the \mathbb{F}_q -rational points of X , and are used in Stöhr and Voloch’s proof of the Riemann Hypothesis for curves over finite fields.

Lemma 3 ([15], discussion preceding Proposition 2.3). *Let $(1 : f_1 : \dots : f_r)$ be the morphism associated to \mathcal{D} . Then the Frobenius orders of \mathcal{D} which are less than q are the first several orders of the morphism $(f_1 - f_1^q : \dots : f_r - f_r^q)$.*

3. DERIVATIVES ON THE REE CURVE

The function field of the Ree curve X is $\mathbb{F}_q(x, y, z)$, where y and z satisfy (2). The linear series \mathcal{D} we wish to study corresponds to the $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ -vector space $V_{\mathcal{D}}$ spanned by the 14 functions

$$\mathcal{B} = \{1, x, y, z, w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{10}\},$$

where w_i are defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
w_1 &= x^{3q_0+1} - y^{3q_0} & w_6 &= v^{3q_0} - w_2^{3q_0} + xw_4^{3q_0} \\
w_2 &= xy^{3q_0} - z^{3q_0} & w_7 &= w_2 + v \\
w_3 &= xz^{3q_0} - w_1^{3q_0} & w_8 &= w_5^{3q_0} + xw_7^{3q_0} \\
w_4 &= xw_2^{q_0} - yw_1^{q_0} & w_9 &= w_4w_2^{q_0} - yw_6^{q_0} \\
v &= xw_3^{q_0} - zw_1^{q_0} & w_{10} &= zw_6^{q_0} - w_3^{q_0}w_4 \\
w_5 &= yw_3^{q_0} - zw_1^{q_0} & &
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

as in the appendix of [11]. The functions in \mathcal{B} have distinct orders at the pole P_∞ of x , hence are linearly independent. We will use the separating variable x for computing all Hasse derivatives on the Ree curve.

To compute the orders of \mathcal{D} , we will need to obtain closed form expressions for the derivatives of the functions $f \in \mathcal{B}$. In addition to the relations in (2), the following equations derived by Pedersen will be useful for computing the derivatives of the w_i .

$$\begin{aligned}
w_1^q - w_1 &= x^{3q_0}(x^q - x) & w_4^q - w_4 &= w_2^{q_0}(x^q - x) - w_1^{q_0}(y^q - y) \\
w_2^q - w_2 &= y^{3q_0}(x^q - x) & w_5^q - w_5 &= w_3^{q_0}(y^q - y) - w_2^{q_0}(z^q - z) \\
w_3^q - w_3 &= z^{3q_0}(x^q - x) & w_7^q - w_7 &= w_2^{q_0}(y^q - y) - w_3^{q_0}(x^q - x) \\
w_6^q - w_6 &= w_4^{3q_0}(x^q - x) & w_9^q - w_9 &= w_2^{q_0}(w_4^q - w_4) - w_6^{q_0}(y^q - y) \\
w_8^q - w_8 &= w_7^{3q_0}(x^q - x) & w_{10}^q - w_{10} &= w_6^{q_0}(z^q - z) - w_3^{q_0}(w_4^q - w_4)
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

We have separated these equations into groups of similar form. We call the w_i which appear on the left hand side of (5) of type 1 and the w_i on the right hand side of type 2.

We give an example to show how the expressions in (5) are useful for computing derivatives. To compute the derivatives of y , we let $h = x^{q_0}(x^q - x)$. Since $y^q - y = h$, we may expand y as a series in h whose tail is contained in the kernel of any of the derivations we wish to apply. To compute $D^i y$ for $i < q^2$, we consider

$$y = -h - h^q + y^{q^2} \equiv -h - h^q \pmod{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q(X)^{q^2}},$$

since $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q(X)^{q^2} = \bigcap_{i=1}^{q^2-1} \ker D^i$. Then

$$D^i y = -D^i h - (D^{i/q} h)^q.$$

In this manner, the derivatives of y are written in terms of derivatives of h , which can be determined using the basic properties of Hasse derivatives.

Each equation in (5) is of a similar form, with each new function written in terms of previous ones. Therefore, one may in principle write down any derivative $D^i f$ with $f \in \mathcal{B}$ as an element of $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q[x, y, z]$ using this method.

For each $f \in \mathcal{B}$, we construct a set S_f containing all indices i in $\{0, 1, \dots, q^2\}$ such that $D^i f \neq 0$, which we refer to as the *support* of f . We make no claims that

$D^i f \neq 0$ for all i in S_f . By direct calculation as in the example above, the sets

$$\begin{aligned} S_{x^q-x} &= \{0, 1, q\} \\ S_{y^q-y} &= \{0, 1, q_0, q_0 + 1, q, q + q_0\} \\ S_y &= \{0, 1, q_0, q_0 + 1, q, q + q_0, qq_0, qq_0 + q, q^2\} \\ S_{z^q-z} &= \{0, 1, q_0, q_0 + 1, 2q_0, 2q_0 + 1, q, q + q_0, q + 2q_0\} \\ S_z &= \{0, 1, q_0, q_0 + 1, 2q_0, 2q_0 + 1, q, \\ &\quad q + q_0, q + 2q_0, qq_0, qq_0 + q, 2qq_0, 2qq_0 + q, q^2\} \end{aligned}$$

satisfy the desired conditions.

Now we construct S_{w_i} for $i = 1, \dots, 10$. For $n \geq 1$ and $A, B \subset \{0, \dots, q^2\}$ we use the notation

$$\begin{aligned} nA &= \{na : a \in A\} \cap [0, q^2], \\ A + B &= \{a + b : a \in A, b \in B\} \cap [0, q^2]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $w_1^q - w_1 = x^{3q_0}(x^q - x)$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} S_{w_1^q-w_1} &= 3q_0 S_x + S_{x^q-x} \\ &= \{0, 3q_0\} + \{0, 1, q\} = \{0, 1, 3q_0, 3q_0 + 1, q, q + 3q_0\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} S_{w_1} &= S_{w_1^q-w_1} \cup qS_{w_1^q-w_1} \\ &= \{0, 1, 3q_0, 3q_0 + 1, q, q + 3q_0, 3qq_0, 3qq_0 + q, q^2\}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we define $S_{w_2^q-w_2} = 3q_0 S_y + S_{y^q-y}$ and $S_{w_2} = S_{w_2^q-w_2} \cup qS_{w_2^q-w_2}$, and so on, using the equations in (5) as a guide.

Let S denote the union of the S_f with $f \in \mathcal{B}$. Since we will use this information later, we include a table of the S_f in an appendix, and note in particular that

$$S_x \subset S_{w_1} \subset S_{w_2} \subset S_{w_3} \subset S_{w_6} = S_{w_8}$$

and

$$S_y \subset S_z \subset S_{w_4} \subset S_{w_7} \subset S_{w_5} \subset S_{w_9} \subset S_{w_{10}}.$$

For $s = 1$, the indices appearing in S as represented in the appendix are not all distinct, since for example $3q = qq_0$. To avoid any complications this may cause, we assume going forward that $s \geq 2$. Computations performed in Magma [2] have verified the statements of all our results for $s = 1$.

4. COMPUTATION OF ORDERS

In this section we compute the orders of \mathcal{D} .

Theorem 1. *The orders of \mathcal{D} are*

$$0, 1, q_0, 2q_0, 3q_0, q, q + q_0, 2q, qq_0, qq_0 + q_0, qq_0 + q, 2qq_0, 3qq_0, q^2.$$

Since $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}_X$, these form a subset of the orders of \mathcal{D}_X .

Lemma 4. *The orders of \mathcal{D} which are less than q are $0, 1, q_0, 2q_0$, and $3q_0$.*

Proof. That $\epsilon_0(\mathcal{D}) = 0$ and $\epsilon_1(\mathcal{D}) = 1$ is clear. The rest follows from Lemma 3. Indeed, the orders of the morphism

$$(x^q - x : y^q - y : z^q - z : w_1^q - w_1 : w_2^q - w_2 : \cdots) = (1 : x^{q_0} : x^{2q_0} : x^{3q_0} : y^{3q_0} : \cdots)$$

which are less than q are $0, q_0, 2q_0,$ and $3q_0$, so these are the Frobenius orders of \mathcal{D} which are less than q . There is only one order of \mathcal{D} which is not a Frobenius order, and this is $\epsilon_1(\mathcal{D})$. \square

Remark. In light of Lemma 3, the fact that $\nu_1(\mathcal{D}) = \epsilon_2(\mathcal{D}) > 1$ means that the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} x^q - x & y^q - y & z^q - z & \cdots & w_{10}^q - w_{10} \\ 1 & D^1 y & D^1 z & \cdots & D^1 w_{10} \end{pmatrix}$$

has rank 1, so that

$$f^q - f = (x^q - x)D^1 f \quad (6)$$

holds for all $f \in \mathcal{B}$. Applying the derivatives D^q and D^{kq_0} for $k = 1, 2, 3$ to the previous equation gives the identities

$$D^{kq_0} f = -(x^q - x)D^{kq_0+1} f, \quad k = 1, 2, 3 \quad (7)$$

$$D^q(f^q - f) = D^1 f + (x^q - x)D^{q+1} f \quad (8)$$

which hold for all $f \in \mathcal{B}$. These will be used extensively in what follows.

From (1), we have for any $P \in X$ a linear equivalence

$$\mathrm{Fr}^4(P) + 3q_0 \mathrm{Fr}^3(P) + 2q \mathrm{Fr}^2(P) + 3qq_0 \mathrm{Fr}(P) + q^2 P \sim mP_\infty.$$

If $P \notin X(\mathbb{F}_q)$, then the terms on the left hand side involve distinct points since X has no places of degrees 2, 3, or 4 over \mathbb{F}_q . By applying some multiple of the Frobenius to this equivalence, we obtain each of $1, 3q_0, 2q, 3qq_0,$ and q^2 as orders of \mathcal{D}_X , as in Lemma 3.2 of [7]. By the p -adic criterion it follows that q is also an order of \mathcal{D}_X . That said, it is not immediately clear that these are orders of the linear series \mathcal{D} . We show now that these are in fact the orders of the subseries $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{D}$ corresponding to $V_{\mathcal{E}} = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q\langle 1, x, w_1, w_2, w_3, w_6, w_8 \rangle$, and hence are orders of \mathcal{D} .

Theorem 5. *The orders of \mathcal{E} are $0, 1, 3q_0, q, 2q, 3qq_0,$ and q^2 .*

For ease of notation we write $\ell = x^q - x$ in the proof of the next lemma and throughout the rest of the paper.

Lemma 6. *The image in \mathbb{P}^6 of the map $\phi_{\mathcal{E}} = (1 : x : w_1 : w_2 : w_3 : w_6 : w_8)$ lies on the hypersurface*

$$\sum_{i+j=6} X_i^{q^2} X_j = 0.$$

Proof. By using (5) one finds that

$$\begin{aligned} w_8^{q^2} + w_8 &= (w_7^{3q_0})^q \ell^q + w_7^{3q_0} \ell - w_8 \\ xw_6^{q^2} + x^{q^2} w_6 &= ((w_4^{3q_0})^q x + w_6) \ell^q + (w_4^{3q_0} x + w_6) \ell - xw_6 \\ w_1 w_3^{q^2} + w_1^q w_3 &= ((z^{3q_0})^q w_1 + (x^{3q_0})^q w_3) \ell^q + (z^{3q_0} w_1 + x^{3q_0} w_3) \ell - w_1 w_3 \\ w_2^{q^2+1} &= (y^{3q_0})^q w_2 \ell^q + y^{3q_0} w_2 \ell + w_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Summing these and collecting terms involving common powers of ℓ gives an expression of the form

$$A_{-1} + A_0\ell + A_1\ell^q.$$

That each $A_i = 0$ on X may be verified using (4) and (5), along with some of the 105 equations found in [3]. This calculation is carried out more explicit detail in the proof of Lemma 4.3 of [14]. \square

Lemma 7. *The largest order of \mathcal{E} is q^2 .*

Proof. Because the coefficients a_i of $m(t) = t^4 + 3q_0t^3 + 2qt^2 + 3qq_0t + q^2$ satisfy $a_0 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_4$ and $\#X(\mathbb{F}_q) > q(m - a_0) + 1$, it follows from Proposition 3.4 of [6] that the largest order of \mathcal{D}_X is q^2 (our numbering of the coefficients a_i is opposite that found in the reference). Since each order of \mathcal{E} is an order of \mathcal{D}_X , no order of \mathcal{E} is greater than q^2 . We exhibit a family of functions g_P in $V_{\mathcal{E}}$ parameterized by P in X which vanish to order at least q^2 at P .

Write $(1, x, w_1, w_2, w_3, w_6, w_8) = (f_0, \dots, f_6)$. Then by Lemma 6, the function

$$G(P, Q) = \sum_{i+j=6} f_i^{q^2}(P) f_j(Q)$$

vanishes on the diagonal of $X \times X$. Choose any $P \in X \setminus \{P_\infty\}$. Then $g_P = G(P, \cdot)$ and $h_P = G(\cdot, P)$ are functions on X which vanish at P , and g_P is in $V_{\mathcal{E}}$. Since

$$h_P = \sum_{i+j=6} f_j(P) f_i^{q^2} = \left(\sum_{i+j=6} f_j(P)^{1/q^2} f_i \right)^{q^2},$$

the function h_P vanishes at P to order at least q^2 . But

$$\begin{aligned} g_P - h_P &= \sum_{i+j=6} f_i^{q^2}(P) f_j - f_j(P) f_i^{q^2} \\ &= \sum_{i+j=6} (f_i^{q^2}(P) - f_i^{q^2})(f_j(P) + f_j) + f_i^{q^2} f_j - f_i^{q^2}(P) f_j(P) \\ &= \sum_{i+j=6} (f_i(P) - f_i)^{q^2} (f_j(P) + f_j) \end{aligned}$$

also vanishes at P to order at least q^2 , hence so does g_P . Since P was chosen in an open subset of X , q^2 is an order of \mathcal{E} . \square

Remark. The proof of the preceding lemma shows that

$$\sum_{i+j=6} f_i^{q^2}(P) X_j = 0$$

is the equation of the osculating hyperplane at P , and that the function $X \rightarrow \text{Div}(X)$ taking $P \mapsto \text{div}(g_P)$ assigns to P the corresponding hyperplane section.

Proof of Theorem 5. Let M be the matrix of derivatives $[D^i f_j]$ with $i \in \{0, 1, 3q_0 + 1, q + 3q_0 + 1, 2q + 3q_0 + 1\}$ and $f_j \in \{1, x, w_1, w_2, w_3\}$. By the appendix, the matrix M is upper triangular. Moreover, one may check by hand that each diagonal entry is equal to 1. Thus, there are at least 5 orders ϵ of \mathcal{E} with $\epsilon \leq 2q + 3q_0 + 1$.

Let $I_{\mathcal{E}} = \{0, 1, 3q_0, q, 2q, 3qq_0, q^2\}$ be the proposed set of orders. The subset of $S_{w_8} \setminus I_{\mathcal{E}}$ of elements minimal with respect to the partial order \leq_3 is

$$J = \{3q_0 + 1, q + 1, q + 3q_0, 3q, 3qq_0 + 1, 3qq_0 + 3q_0, 3qq_0 + q, 6qq_0\}.$$

By the p -adic criterion, to prove the theorem it will suffice to show that no $j \in J$ is an order of \mathcal{E} . In fact, it will be enough to show that no $j \in \{3q_0+1, q+1, q+3q_0, 3q\}$ is an order. For then we will already know that the six elements of $I_{\mathcal{E}} \setminus \{3qq_0\}$ are orders. Then exactly one of the remaining elements of J is the seventh and final order of \mathcal{E} . But each of the remaining elements satisfies $j \geq_3 3qq_0$, so this final order is $3qq_0$.

That $3q_0 + 1$ is not an order follows from (7). Let w be one of the functions w_1, w_2, w_3, w_6, w_8 . Each of these is of the form

$$w \equiv -h - h^q \pmod{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q(X)^{q^2}},$$

where $h = f^{3q_0}(x^q - x)$ and $f \in \{x, y, z, w_4, w_7\}$. Then $D^k w = -D^k h - (D^{k/q} h)^q$ and

$$\begin{aligned} D^k h &= \sum_{3q_0 i + j = k} (D^i f)^{3q_0} D^j (x^q - x) \\ &= (x^q - x)(D^{\frac{k}{3q_0}} f)^{3q_0} - (D^{\frac{k-1}{3q_0}} f)^{3q_0} + (D^{\frac{k-q}{3q_0}} f)^{3q_0}. \end{aligned}$$

We calculate

$$\begin{aligned} D^{3q_0+1} w &= (D^1 f)^{3q_0} & D^{q+3q_0} w &= -(D^1 f)^{3q_0} - \ell(D^{q_0+1} f)^{3q_0} \\ D^q w &= (f^q - f)^{3q_0} - \ell(D^{q_0} f)^{3q_0} & D^{2q} w &= -(D^{q_0} f)^{3q_0} - \ell(D^{2q_0} f)^{3q_0} \quad (9) \\ D^{q+1} w &= (D^{q_0} f)^{3q_0} & D^{3q} w &= -(D^{2q_0} f)^{3q_0}. \end{aligned}$$

Then by using these along with (6) and (7), one immediately verifies that

$$\begin{aligned} \ell D^{q+1} w + D^q w &= \ell^{3q_0} D^{3q_0+1} w \\ \ell^{3q_0} D^{q+3q_0} w + D^q w &= 0 \\ \ell D^{3q} w &= D^{2q} w + D^{q+1} w, \end{aligned}$$

and so $q+1$, $q+3q_0$, and $3q$ are not orders of \mathcal{D} . This completes the proof. \square

Up to this point we have shown that the nine numbers

$$0, 1, q_0, 2q_0, 3q_0, q, 2q, 3qq_0, q^2$$

are orders of \mathcal{D} , and it remains to show that $q+q_0$, qq_0 , qq_0+q_0 , qq_0+q , and $2qq_0$ are orders.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $I_{\mathcal{D}}$ be the list of orders of \mathcal{D} proposed in the statement of the theorem. Let M be the 12 by 12 matrix of derivatives $[D^i f_j]$ with i in

$$\begin{aligned} \{0, 1, q_0 + 1, 2q_0 + 1, 3q_0 + 1, q + 3q_0 + 1, 2q + 3q_0 + 1, qq_0 + 2q + q_0, \\ qq_0 + q + 2q_0 + 1, qq_0 + 2q + 3q_0, qq_0 + 3q + 3q_0, 2qq_0 + 3q_0 + 1\} \end{aligned}$$

and f_j in $\{1, x, y, z, w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4, w_7, w_5, w_9, w_{10}\}$. The appendix assures that M is upper triangular. Moreover, one may check by hand that each diagonal entry is equal to 1, except the last, which is x^{2q} . Thus there are at least 11 orders which are less than $2qq_0$, and 12 orders which are at most $2qq_0 + 3q_0 + 1$. Since there are 14 orders in total, and we already know that $3qq_0$ and q^2 are among them, to prove the theorem it will be enough to show that no element of $(S \setminus I_{\mathcal{D}}) \cap [0, 2qq_0 + 3q_0 + 1]$ is an order.

By the p -adic criterion, it suffices to check elements of this set which are minimal with respect to \leq_3 . These elements comprise the set

$$J = \{q_0 + 1, 3q_0 + 1, q + 1, q + 2q_0, q + 3q_0, 2q + q_0, 3q, qq_0 + 1, qq_0 + 2q_0, qq_0 + 3q_0, qq_0 + q + q_0, qq_0 + 2q, 2qq_0 + q_0\}. \quad (10)$$

In fact, it will be enough to demonstrate that each element of $J \setminus \{2qq_0 + q_0\}$ is not an order, since $2qq_0 \leq_3 2qq_0 + q_0$.

That $q_0 + 1$ and $3q_0 + 1$ are not orders follows from Lemma 4. To deal with each remaining ten elements $j \in J$, we give a differential equation

$$c_j D^j f + \sum_{i < j} c_i D^i f = 0, \quad c_i \in \mathbb{F}_q(X)$$

which is satisfied by all $f \in \mathcal{B}$. These are listed in the following lemma, and proven in the next section. This will complete the proof of the theorem. \square

Lemma 8. *The following differential equations are satisfied by each $f \in \mathcal{B}$:*

- (A1) $\ell^{q_0} D^{q_0+1} f + \ell^{2q_0} D^{2q_0+1} f + \ell^{3q_0} D^{3q_0+1} f = D^q f + \ell D^{q+1} f$
- (A2) $\ell^{q_0} (D^{q+2q_0} f + D^{2q_0+1}) f = D^{q+q_0} f + D^{q_0+1} f$
- (A3) $D^q f + \ell^{q_0} D^{q+q_0} f + \ell^{2q_0} D^{q+2q_0} f + \ell^{3q_0} D^{q+3q_0} f = 0$
- (A4) $\ell D^{2q+q_0} f = D^{q_0+1} f + D^{q+q_0} f$
- (A5) $\ell D^{3q} f = D^{2q} f + D^{q+1} f$
- (A6) $\ell D^{qq_0+1} f + D^{qq_0} f = \ell^q (\ell^{q_0} D^{2q_0+1} f - D^{q_0+1} f)$
- (A7) $\ell^{2q_0} D^{qq_0+2q_0} f - \ell^{q_0} D^{qq_0+q_0} f = \ell^q (D^{q_0+1} f + D^{q+q_0} f)$
- (A8) $\ell^{q_0} D^{qq_0+q_0} f + \ell^{2q_0} D^{qq_0+2q_0} f + \ell^{3q_0} D^{qq_0+3q_0} f = \ell D^{qq_0+1} f$
- (A9) $\ell^{q+q_0+1} D^{qq_0+q+q_0} f = (\ell^q - \ell) \ell^{q_0} D^{qq_0+q_0} f$
- (A10) $\ell^{2q} (\ell D^{qq_0+2q} f - D^{qq_0+1} f) = (\ell^q - \ell) (\ell^q D^{qq_0+q} f + D^{qq_0} f)$.

Proof. The fact that x , y , and z satisfy these equations may be verified without difficulty by hand. If f is of type 1, then by consulting the appendix we see that the only equations among (A1)–(A10) in which nonzero derivatives of f appear are (A1), (A3), and (A5), and, keeping in mind that some of the terms are zero for f of type 1, these are the equations which were verified in the proof of Theorem 5. The proof for functions of type 2 is contained in the next section. \square

5. VERIFICATION OF SOME DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

Before proceeding to verify equations (A1)–(A10) for functions of type 2, we first list a few identities for w of type 1 which will be useful for this task. For w of type 1, write $w^q - w = f^{3q_0} (b^q - b)$ as in the proof of Theorem 5. Then $D^{2q+1} w = (D^{2q_0} f)^{3q_0}$, and so by (9), we have

$$\ell D^{2q+1} w + D^{2q} w + D^{q+1} w = 0. \quad (11)$$

Also, from the proof of Theorem 5 we recall that

$$D^q w + \ell D^{q+1} w = \ell^{3q_0} D^{3q_0+1} w, \quad (12)$$

$$\ell^{3q_0} D^{q+3q_0} w + D^q w = 0. \quad (13)$$

Now let w be of type 2. From (5), w may be written in the form $w = t_1 - t_2$, where t_i satisfies

$$t_i^q - t_i = h_i = f_i^{q_0} (b_i^q - b_i),$$

for some $f_i \in \{w_1, w_2, w_3, w_6\}$ and $b_i \in \{x, y, z, w_4\}$. Thus, if one of the desired equations holds for all t_i of this form, then it also holds for w . This will be the case for some but not all of the equations we wish to verify.

Let t, h, f, b be as above. Then

$$D^k h = \sum_{q_0 i + j = k} (D^i f)^{q_0} D^j (b^q - b)$$

and

$$D^i t = -D^i h - (D^{i/q} h)^q.$$

Taking into account the supports of f and b and using (6) and (7) to make simplifications, we compute the derivatives of t which appear in equations (A1)–(A10):

$$\begin{aligned} D^{kq_0+1} t &= f^{q_0} D^{kq_0+1} b + (D^1 f)^{q_0} D^{(k-1)q_0+1} b, \quad k = 1, 2, 3 \\ D^q t &= f^{q_0} D^q b + (D^1 f)^{q_0} \ell^{q_0} D^q (b^q) + (D^{3q_0+1} f)^{q_0} \ell^{q_0+1} D^1 b \\ D^{q+1} t &= f^{q_0} D^{q+1} b - (D^{3q_0+1} f)^{q_0} \ell^{q_0} D^1 b \\ D^{q+q_0} t &= f^{q_0} D^{q+q_0} b - (D^1 f)^{q_0} D^q (b^q - b) \\ &\quad + (D^{3q_0+1} f)^{q_0} (\ell^{q_0+1} D^{q_0+1} b - \ell D^1 b) \\ D^{q+2q_0} t &= f^{q_0} D^{q+2q_0} b + (D^1 f)^{q_0} D^{q+q_0} b \\ &\quad + (D^{3q_0+1} f)^{q_0} (\ell^{q_0+1} D^{2q_0+1} b - \ell D^{q_0+1} b) \\ D^{q+3q_0} t &= f^{q_0} D^{q+3q_0} b + (D^1 f)^{q_0} D^{q+2q_0} b - (D^{3q_0+1} f)^{q_0} \ell D^{2q_0+1} b \\ D^{2q} t &= f^{q_0} D^{2q} b + (D^{3q_0+1} f)^{q_0} \ell^{q_0} D^q (b^q - b) \\ D^{2q+q_0} t &= f^{q_0} D^{2q+q_0} b + (D^1 f)^{q_0} D^{2q} b \\ &\quad - (D^{3q_0+1} f)^{q_0} (\ell^{q_0} D^{q+q_0} b + D^q (b^q - b)) \\ D^{3q} t &= f^{q_0} D^{3q} b - (D^{3q_0+1} f)^{q_0} \ell^{q_0} D^{2q} b \\ D^{qq_0} t &= f^{q_0} D^{qq_0} b + (D^1 f)^{q_0} \ell^{q_0} D^{qq_0} (b^q) \\ &\quad - (D^q f)^{q_0} \ell D^1 b - (D^q f^q)^{q_0} \ell^q D^q (b^q) \\ D^{qq_0+1} t &= f^{q_0} D^{qq_0+1} b + (D^q f)^{q_0} D^1 b \\ D^{qq_0+q_0} t &= f^{q_0} D^{qq_0+q_0} b - (D^1 f)^{q_0} D^{qq_0} (b^q - b) \\ &\quad - (D^q f)^{q_0} \ell D^{q_0+1} b - (D^{q+1} f)^{q_0} \ell D^1 b \\ D^{qq_0+2q_0} t &= f^{q_0} D^{qq_0+2q_0} b + (D^1 f)^{q_0} D^{qq_0+q_0} b \\ &\quad - (D^q f)^{q_0} \ell D^{2q_0+1} b - (D^{q+1} f)^{q_0} \ell D^{q_0+1} b. \\ D^{qq_0+3q_0} t &= f^{q_0} D^{qq_0+3q_0} b - (D^{q+1} f)^{q_0} \ell D^{2q_0+1} b \\ D^{qq_0+q} t &= f^{q_0} D^{qq_0+q} b + (D^1 f)^{q_0} \ell^{q_0} D^{qq_0+q} (b^q) \\ &\quad - (D^{3q_0+1} f)^{q_0} \ell^{q_0} (D^{qq_0} b - D^{qq_0} (b^q)) - (D^q f)^{q_0} D^q (b^q - b) \\ &\quad - (D^{q+3q_0} f)^{q_0} \ell D^1 b + (D^q f^q)^{q_0} D^q (b^q) \\ D^{qq_0+q+q_0} t &= f^{q_0} D^{qq_0+q+q_0} b - (D^1 f)^{q_0} D^{qq_0+q} (b^q - b) \\ &\quad - (D^{3q_0+1} f)^{q_0} (\ell^{q_0} D^{qq_0+q_0} b + D^{qq_0} (b^q - b)) + (D^q f)^{q_0} D^{q+q_0} b \\ &\quad - (D^{q+1} f)^{q_0} D^q (b^q - b) + (D^{q+3q_0} f)^{q_0} D^{q_0} b - (D^{q+3q_0+1} f)^{q_0} \ell D^1 b \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
D^{qq_0+2q}t &= f^{q_0} D^{qq_0+2q}b + (D^{3q_0+1}f)^{q_0} \ell^{q_0} D^{qq_0+q}(b^q - b) \\
&\quad - (D^q f)^{q_0} D^{2q}(b^q - b) - (D^{q+3q_0}f)^{q_0} D^q(b^q - b).
\end{aligned}$$

We will also use a few of the values $D^i b$, which we collect in the following table:

i	$D^i y$	$D^i z$	$D^i w_4$
1	x^{q_0}	x^{2q_0}	$-x^{2q_0+1} - x^{q_0}y - z$
$q_0 + 1$	1	$-x^{q_0}$	$x^{q_0+1} - y$
$2q_0 + 1$	0	1	$-x^q$
$q + 1$	0	0	$-\ell^{2q_0}$
$q + q_0$	-1	x^{q_0}	$\ell^{q_0+1} - x^{q_0+1} + y$
$2q$	0	0	ℓ^{2q_0}
$qq_0 + 1$	0	0	$-\ell^{q+q_0}$
$qq_0 + q_0$	0	0	$-\ell^{q+1}$

(14)

Now we verify each of the equations (A1)–(A10) in turn. Since each b_i associated with w appears before w in the list

$$x, y, z, w_4, w_5, w_7, w_9, w_{10},$$

and since each of (A1)–(A10) has already been verified for x, y , and z , we may assume by induction that these equations are satisfied by each b_i which appears in the proof.

Proof of (A1). We show that the desired equation holds for all t . Since $D^{3q_0+1}b = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^3 \ell^{kq_0} D^{kq_0+1}t &= f^{q_0} \sum_{k=1}^3 \ell^{kq_0} D^{kq_0+1}b + (D^1 f)^{q_0} \ell^{q_0} (D^1 b + \sum_{k=1}^3 \ell^{kq_0} D^{kq_0+1}b) \\
&= f^{q_0} (\ell D^{q+1}b + D^q b) + (D^1 f)^{q_0} \ell^{q_0} (D^1 b + D^q b + \ell D^{q+1}b) \\
&= f^{q_0} (\ell D^{q+1}b + D^q b) + (D^1 f)^{q_0} \ell^{q_0} D^q(b^q) \\
&= D^q t + \ell D^{q+1}t,
\end{aligned}$$

where we used (8) in the third line. □

Proof of (A2). Let

$$\Delta_t := \ell^{q_0} (D^{q+2q_0}t + D^{2q_0+1}t) - (D^{q+q_0}t + D^{q_0+1}t).$$

Here it is not the case that $\Delta_t = 0$ for all t , so we need to show that $\Delta_{t_1} = \Delta_{t_2}$ for each $w = t_1 - t_2$. The contribution to Δ_t of those terms involving f^{q_0} is zero by our assumption on b . Moreover, by (8) the contributed coefficient of $(D^1 f)^{q_0}$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\ell^{q_0} D^{q+q_0}b + \ell^{q_0} D^{q_0+1}b + D^q(b^q - b) - D^1 b \\
&= \ell^{q_0} D^{q+q_0}b + \ell^{q_0} D^{q_0+1}b + \ell D^{q+1}b,
\end{aligned}$$

which is zero by (14). Therefore, the only terms giving a contribution to Δ_t are those involving $(D^{3q_0+1}f)^{q_0}$. The coefficient of $(D^{3q_0+1}f)^{q_0}$ in Δ_t is

$$\sum_{k=0}^2 \ell^{kq_0+1} D^{kq_0+1}b = \ell(D^1 b + D^q b + D^{q+1}b) = \ell D^q(b^q),$$

where we have used the fact that $D^{3q_0+1}b = 0$, along with (8) and (A1). With the exception of $w = w_7$ we have $f_i^q - f_i = b_j^{3q_0}(x^q - x)$ for $i \neq j$, so that

$$(D^{3q_0+1}f_i)^{q_0} = (D^{3q_0}(b_j^{3q_0}))^{q_0} = D^q(b_j^q).$$

Therefore, for $w \neq w_7$ we have

$$\Delta_{t_i} = D^q(b_j^q) \cdot \ell D^q(b_i^q),$$

and so $\Delta_{t_1} = \Delta_{t_2}$ as desired. Finally, for $w = w_7$ we check that

$$D^q(y^q) \cdot \ell D^q(y^q) = x^{2q_0} \ell = D^q(z^q) \cdot \ell D^q(x^q). \quad \square$$

Proof of (A3). We show that the desired equation holds for all t . Since $D^{q+3q_0}b = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^3 \ell^{kq_0} D^{q+kq_0} t &= f^{q_0} \sum_{k=0}^3 \ell^{kq_0} D^{q+kq_0} b \\ &+ (D^1 f)^{q_0} \ell^{q_0} \sum_{k=0}^3 \ell^{kq_0} D^{q+kq_0} b + (D^{3q_0+1} f)^{q_0} \cdot 0 = 0. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Proof of (A4). We show that the desired equation holds for all t . The contribution of the terms involving f^{q_0} is zero by our assumption on b . By considering the contribution of terms involving $(D^1 f)^{q_0}$ and $(D^{3q_0+1} f)^{q_0}$, it will suffice to show that the equations

$$\begin{aligned} D^q(b^q - b) + D^1 b &= \ell D^{2q} b \\ \ell^{q_0} D^{q_0} b + \ell D^1 b &= \ell^{q_0+1} D^{q+q_0} b + \ell D^q(b^q - b) \end{aligned}$$

hold for $b = x, y, z, w_4$. These may be rewritten using (8) as

$$\begin{aligned} D^{2q} b + D^{q+1} b &= 0 \\ \ell^{q_0} D^{q+q_0} b + \ell D^{q+1} b + \ell^{q_0} D^{q_0+1} b &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

and these follow from (14). \square

Proof of (A5). We show that the desired equation holds for all t . By our assumption on b , the contribution of all terms involving f^{q_0} is zero. By comparing the coefficients of $(D^{3q_0+1} f)^{q_0}$ and using (8), it suffices to show that

$$\ell D^{2q} b = D^1 b - D^q(b^q - b) = -\ell D^{q+1} b.$$

This follows from (14). \square

Proof of (A6). Let

$$\Delta_t = \ell D^{q_0+1} t + D^{q_0} t - \ell^q (\ell^{q_0} D^{2q_0+1} t - D^{q_0+1} t).$$

By our assumption on b , the terms in Δ_t involving f^{q_0} sum to zero. Moreover, the terms involving $(D^q f)^{q_0}$ also give no contribution to Δ_t . After some simplification, the sum of the remaining terms is ℓ^q times

$$(D^1 f)^{q_0} D^1 b - ((D^1 f)^{q_0} D^1 b)^q - (D^1 f)^{q_0} \ell^{q_0} (D^{q_0+1} b + (D^{q_0+1} b)^q).$$

Note that $(D^1 f)^{q_0} = c^q$, where $f^q - f = c^{3q_0}(x^q - x)$ and $c \in \{x, y, z, w_4\}$. Thus, Δ_t is ℓ^q times

$$c^q D^1 b - (c^q D^1 b)^q - c^q \ell^{q_0} (D^{q_0+1} b + (D^{q_0+1} b)^q).$$

That $\Delta_1 = \Delta_2$ may now be checked in each case by using (14). \square

Proof of (A7). Let

$$\Delta_t = \ell^{2q_0} D^{q_{q_0}+2q_0} t - \ell^{q_0} D^{q_{q_0}+q_0} t - \ell^q D^{q_0+1} t - \ell^q D^{q+q_0} t.$$

By our assumption on b , the contribution to Δ_t of those terms involving f^{q_0} is zero. The contribution of the terms involving $(D^1 f)^{q_0}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} & \ell^{2q_0} D^{q_{q_0}+q_0} b + \ell^{q_0} D^{q_{q_0}} (b^q - b) + \ell^q D^q (b^q - b) - \ell^q D^1 b \\ &= \ell^{2q_0} D^{q_{q_0}+q_0} b + \ell^{q_0+1} D^{q_{q_0}+1} b + \ell^{q+1} D^{q+1} b. \end{aligned}$$

This is also zero by (14). Then using (12), we may rewrite the sum of the remaining terms as

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_t &= (\ell^{3q_0} D^{3q_0+1} f)^{q_0} \ell^{q_0} D^{q_0} b \\ &\quad - (\ell^{3q_0} D^{3q_0+1} f)^{q_0} (\ell^{2q_0} D^{2q_0} b + \ell^{q_0} D^{q_0} b - \ell D^1 b) \\ &\quad\quad\quad + (\ell D^{q+1} f)^{q_0} (\ell^{2q_0} D^{2q_0} b + \ell^{q_0} D^{q_0} b - \ell D^1 b). \end{aligned}$$

As in the proof of (A2), we have

$$\ell^{2q_0} D^{2q_0} b + \ell^{q_0} D^{q_0} b - \ell D^1 b = \ell D^q (b^q).$$

Furthermore, if $c \in \{x, y, z, w_4\}$ with $f^q - f = c^{3q_0}(x^q - x)$, then $(D^{3q_0+1} f)^{q_0} = D^q(c^q)$ and $(D^{q+1} f)^{q_0} = (D^{q_0} c)^q$. Therefore,

$$\Delta_t = \ell^{q_0} D^q (c^q) D^{q_0} b - \ell D^q (c^q) D^q (b^q) + \ell (D^{q_0} c)^q D^q (b^q).$$

Now (14) may be used to verify in each case that $\Delta_{t_1} = \Delta_{t_2}$. \square

Proof of (A8). By using (12), the terms on the left hand side of the desired equation may be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \ell^{q_0} D^{q_{q_0}+q_0} t &= f^{q_0} \ell^{q_0} D^{q_{q_0}+q_0} b - (D^1 f)^{q_0} \ell^{q_0} D^{q_{q_0}} (b^q - b) \\ &\quad - (\ell^{3q_0} D^{3q_0+1} f)^{q_0} \ell D^1 b + (D^q f)^{q_0} (\ell^{q_0} D^{q_0} b + \ell D^1 b) \\ \ell^{2q_0} D^{q_{q_0}+2q_0} t &= f^{q_0} \ell^{2q_0} D^{q_{q_0}+2q_0} b + (D^1 f)^{q_0} \ell^{2q_0} D^{q_{q_0}+q_0} b \\ &\quad + (\ell^{3q_0} D^{3q_0+1} f)^{q_0} \ell^{q_0} D^{q_0} b + (D^q f)^{q_0} (\ell^{2q_0} D^{2q_0} b - \ell^{q_0} D^{q_0} b) \\ \ell^{3q_0} D^{q_{q_0}+3q_0} t &= f^{q_0} \ell^{3q_0} D^{q_{q_0}+3q_0} b \\ &\quad + (\ell^{3q_0} D^{3q_0+1} f)^{q_0} \ell^{2q_0} D^{2q_0} b - (D^q f)^{q_0} \ell^{2q_0} D^{2q_0} b. \end{aligned}$$

By our assumption on b , the contribution of the terms involving f^{q_0} is zero. The terms involving $(D^q f)^{q_0}$ sum to zero. The sum of the terms involving $(D^{3q_0+1} f)^{q_0}$ is ℓ^q times the quantity which was dealt with (A2), so by the same argument these give no contribution to $\Delta_{t_1} - \Delta_{t_2}$. It remains only to show that the terms involving $(D^1 f)^{q_0}$ sum to zero, i.e., that

$$\ell^{2q_0} D^{q_{q_0}+q_0} b = \ell^{q_0} D^{q_{q_0}} (b^q - b) = \ell^{q_0+1} D^{q_{q_0}+1} b.$$

But this follows from (14). \square

Proof of (A9). We show that the desired equation holds for all t . Use (12) and (13) to write each side of the desired equation as

$$\begin{aligned} \ell^{q_0} D^{q_{q_0}+q_0} t &= f^{q_0} \ell^{q_0} D^{q_{q_0}+q_0} b - (D^1 f)^{q_0} \ell^{q_0} D^{q_{q_0}} (b^q - b) \\ &\quad - \ell^q (D^{3q_0+1} f)^{q_0} (b^q - b) + (D^q f)^{q_0} (\ell^{q_0} D^{q_0} b + (b^q - b)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \ell^{q+q_0} D^{qq_0+q+q_0} t &= f^{q_0} \ell^{q+q_0} D^{qq_0+q+q_0} b - (D^1 f)^{q_0} \ell^{q+q_0} D^{qq_0+q} (b^q - b) \\ &\quad - \ell^q (D^{3q_0+1} f)^{q_0} (\ell^{2q_0} D^{qq_0+q_0} b \\ &\quad \quad + \ell^{q_0} D^{qq_0} (b^q - b) + \ell^q D^q (b^q - b) - \ell D^1 b) \\ &\quad + (D^q f)^{q_0} (\ell^{q+q_0} D^{q+q_0} b + \ell^q D^q (b^q - b) - \ell^{q_0} D^{q_0} b - \ell D^1 b). \end{aligned}$$

By our assumption on b , the contribution of the terms involving f^{q_0} is zero. After using (6) to rewrite the coefficients of $(D^1 f)^{q_0}$, $\ell^q (D^{3q_0+1} f)^{q_0}$, and $(D^q f)^{q_0}$ completely in terms of derivatives of b and doing some simplification, it will suffice to show that the equations

$$\begin{aligned} D^{qq_0+1} b + \ell^q D^{qq_0+q+1} b &= 0 \\ \ell^{q+1} D^{q+1} b + \ell^{2q_0} D^{qq_0+q_0} b + \ell^{q_0+1} D^{qq_0+1} b &= 0 \\ \ell^{q_0} D^{q_0+1} b + \ell D^{q+1} b + \ell^{q_0} D^{q+q_0} b &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

hold for $b = x, y, z, w_4$. This is easily verified by consulting (14). \square

Proof of (A10). We show that the desired equation holds for all t . By using (13) to replace occurrences of $D^{qq_0+3q_0} f$ with $D^q f$, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \ell^q D^{qq_0+q} t &= f^{q_0} \ell^q D^{qq_0+q} b - (D^1 f)^{q_0} \ell^{q_0} D^{qq_0} (b^q) \\ &\quad + (D^{3q_0+1} f)^{q_0} \ell^{q+q_0} D^{qq_0} (b^q - b) \\ &\quad - (D^q f)^{q_0} (\ell^q D^q (b^q - b) - \ell D^1 b) + (D^q f^q)^{q_0} \ell^q D^q (b^q) \\ \ell^{2q} D^{qq_0+2q} t &= f^{q_0} \ell^{2q} D^{qq_0+2q} b + (D^{3q_0+1} f)^{q_0} \ell^{2q+q_0} D^{qq_0+q} (b^q - b) \\ &\quad + (D^q f)^{q_0} (\ell^q D^q (b^q - b) - \ell^{2q} D^{2q} (b^q - b)). \end{aligned}$$

By our assumption on b , the contribution of the terms involving f^{q_0} is zero. The terms involving $(D^1 f)^{q_0}$ and $(D^q f^q)^{q_0}$ also give no contribution.

By comparing the coefficients of $(D^{3q_0+1} f)^{q_0}$ and $(D^q f)^{q_0}$ and doing some minor simplification, it will suffice to show that the equations

$$\begin{aligned} \ell^{q+1} D^{qq_0+q} (b^q - b) &= (\ell^q - \ell) D^{qq_0} (b^q - b) \\ \ell D^{2q} (b^q - b) + D^1 b &= D^q (b^q - b) \end{aligned}$$

hold for $b = x, y, z, w_4$. Each of these is easily verified using (6) and (14). \square

This completes the proof of Lemma 8, and hence of Theorem 1.

6. WEIERSTRASS POINTS

As a consequence of Theorem 1, we determine the Weierstrass points of \mathcal{D} . Recall that the \mathcal{D} -Weierstrass points are those $P \in X$ satisfying $j_i(P) \neq \epsilon_i(P)$ for some i . These points make up the support of a divisor $R_{\mathcal{D}}$ with

$$\deg R_{\mathcal{D}} = (2g - 2) \sum \epsilon_i + (13 + 1)m.$$

Since the sequence $\nu_i(\mathcal{D})$ of Frobenius orders differs from $\epsilon_0, \dots, \epsilon_{13}$, Corollary 2.10 of [15] implies that every rational point of X is a \mathcal{D} -Weierstrass point. We claim that in fact $\text{Supp } R_{\mathcal{D}} = X(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

Corollary 9. *The set of Weierstrass points of \mathcal{D} consists of the \mathbb{F}_q -rational points of X .*

Proof. By Theorem 1, we have

$$\deg R_{\mathcal{D}} = (2g - 2) \sum \epsilon_i + (13 + 1)m = (3qq_0 + 9q + 23q_0 + 12)N,$$

and so it will suffice to show that $v_P(R) = 3qq_0 + 9q + 23q_0 + 12$ for $P \in X(\mathbb{F}_q)$. This will follow from the inequality

$$v_P(R_{\mathcal{D}}) \geq \sum_{i=0}^r (j_i(P) - \epsilon_i). \quad (15)$$

Since the automorphism group acts doubly transitively on the \mathbb{F}_q -rational points of X , it will suffice to show this for the point P_0 with $x = y = z = 0$. By expanding out the functions in \mathcal{B} in terms of x , we find that they vanish at P_0 to the orders

$$\begin{aligned} j_0 &= 0, & j_7 &= 1 + 3q_0 + 2q, \\ j_1 &= 1, & j_8 &= 1 + 2q_0 + q + qq_0, \\ j_2 &= 1 + q_0, & j_9 &= 1 + 3q_0 + q + qq_0, \\ j_3 &= 1 + 2q_0, & j_{10} &= 1 + 3q_0 + 2q + qq_0, \\ j_4 &= 1 + 3q_0, & j_{11} &= 1 + 3q_0 + 2q + 2qq_0, \\ j_5 &= 1 + 2q_0 + q, & j_{12} &= 1 + 3q_0 + 2q + 3qq_0, \\ j_6 &= 1 + 3q_0 + q, & j_{13} &= 1 + 3q_0 + 2q + 3qq_0 + q^2. \end{aligned}$$

Inserting these values into (15) completes the proof. \square

The same argument shows that the \mathcal{E} -Weierstrass points are exactly the \mathbb{F}_q -rational points as well. In this case, $v_P(R_{\mathcal{E}}) = 3qq_0 + 4q + 12q_0 + 5$ for $P \in X(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

7. APPENDIX

In the following tables, an asterisk in row i and column f indicates that i is in the set S_f described in section 3. In particular, $D^i f = 0$ wherever there is a blank entry in the table. The first table involves functions of type 1 and the second involves functions of type 2.

i	x	w_1	w_2	w_3	w_6	w_8
0	*	*	*	*	*	*
1	*	*	*	*	*	*
$3q_0$	*	*	*	*	*	*
$3q_0 + 1$	*	*	*	*	*	*
q	*	*	*	*	*	*
$q + 1$			*	*	*	*
$q + 3q_0$	*	*	*	*	*	*
$q + 3q_0 + 1$			*	*	*	*
$2q$			*	*	*	*
$2q + 1$				*	*	*
$2q + 3q_0$			*	*	*	*
$2q + 3q_0 + 1$				*	*	*
$3q$				*	*	*
$3q + 3q_0$				*	*	*
$3q_0$	*	*	*	*	*	*
$3q_0 + 1$			*	*	*	*
$3q_0 + 3q_0$				*	*	*
$3q_0 + 3q_0 + 1$				*	*	*

i	x	w_1	w_2	w_3	w_6	w_8
$3qq_0 + q$	*	*	*	*	*	*
$3qq_0 + q + 1$			*	*	*	*
$3qq_0 + q + 3q_0$				*	*	*
$3qq_0 + q + 3q_0 + 1$					*	*
$3qq_0 + 2q$			*	*	*	*
$3qq_0 + 2q + 1$				*	*	*
$3qq_0 + 2q + 3q_0$					*	*
$3qq_0 + 2q + 3q_0 + 1$					*	*
$3qq_0 + 3q$			*	*	*	*
$3qq_0 + 3q + 3q_0$					*	*
$6qq_0$					*	*
$6qq_0 + 1$					*	*
$6qq_0 + q$					*	*
$6qq_0 + q + 1$					*	*
$6qq_0 + 2q$					*	*
$6qq_0 + 2q + 1$					*	*
$6qq_0 + 3q$					*	*
q^2	*	*	*	*	*	*

i	y	z	w_4	w_7	w_5	w_9	w_{10}
0	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
q_0	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
$q_0 + 1$	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
$2q_0$	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
$2q_0 + 1$	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
$3q_0$				*	*	*	*
$3q_0 + 1$				*	*	*	*
q	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
$q + 1$			*	*	*	*	*
$q + q_0$	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
$q + q_0 + 1$			*	*	*	*	*
$q + 2q_0$	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
$q + 2q_0 + 1$			*	*	*	*	*
$q + 3q_0$				*	*	*	*
$q + 3q_0 + 1$				*	*	*	*
$2q$	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
$2q + 1$				*	*	*	*
$2q + q_0$	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
$2q + 2q_0$	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
$2q + 3q_0$				*	*	*	*
$2q + 3q_0 + 1$				*	*	*	*
$3q$				*	*	*	*
$3q + 3q_0$				*	*	*	*
qq_0	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
$qq_0 + 1$	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
$qq_0 + q_0$	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
$qq_0 + q_0 + 1$	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

i	y	z	w_4	w_7	w_5	w_9	w_{10}
$qq_0 + 2q_0$				*	*	*	*
$qq_0 + 2q_0 + 1$				*	*	*	*
$qq_0 + 3q_0$					*	*	*
$qq_0 + 3q_0 + 1$					*	*	*
$qq_0 + q$	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
$qq_0 + q + 1$	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
$qq_0 + q + q_0$	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
$qq_0 + q + q_0 + 1$	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
$qq_0 + q + 2q_0$			*	*	*	*	*
$qq_0 + q + 2q_0 + 1$			*	*	*	*	*
$qq_0 + q + 3q_0$				*	*	*	*
$qq_0 + q + 3q_0 + 1$				*	*	*	*
$qq_0 + 2q$	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
$qq_0 + 2q + 1$				*	*	*	*
$qq_0 + 2q + q_0$	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
$qq_0 + 2q + 2q_0$			*	*	*	*	*
$qq_0 + 2q + 3q_0$				*	*	*	*
$qq_0 + 2q + 3q_0 + 1$				*	*	*	*
$qq_0 + 3q$				*	*	*	*
$qq_0 + 3q + 3q_0$				*	*	*	*
$2qq_0$	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
$2qq_0 + 1$	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
$2qq_0 + q_0$	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
$2qq_0 + q_0 + 1$	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
$2qq_0 + 2q_0$				*	*	*	*
$2qq_0 + 2q_0 + 1$				*	*	*	*
$2qq_0 + 3q_0$				*	*	*	*
$2qq_0 + 3q_0 + 1$				*	*	*	*

REFERENCES

- [1] Miriam Abdón and Fernando Torres. On \mathbf{F}_{q^2} -maximal curves of genus $\frac{1}{6}(q-3)q$. *Beiträge Algebra Geom.*, 46(1):241–260, 2005.
- [2] Wieb Bosma, John Cannon, and Catherine Playoust. The Magma algebra system. I. The user language. *J. Symbolic Comput.*, 24(3-4):235–265, 1997. Computational algebra and number theory (London, 1993).
- [3] Abdulla Eid and Iwan Duursma. Smooth embeddings for the Suzuki and Ree curves. In *Algorithmic arithmetic, geometry, and coding theory*, volume 637 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 251–291. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2015.
- [4] Stefania Fanali and Massimo Giulietti. On maximal curves with Frobenius dimension 3. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, 53(3):165–174, 2009.
- [5] Stefania Fanali and Massimo Giulietti. On some open problems on maximal curves. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, 56(2-3):131–139, 2010.
- [6] Rainer Fuhrmann, Arnaldo Garcia, and Fernando Torres. On maximal curves. *J. Number Theory*, 67(1):29–51, 1997.
- [7] Rainer Fuhrmann and Fernando Torres. On Weierstrass points and optimal curves. *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) Suppl.*, (51):25–46, 1998.
- [8] Johan P. Hansen and Jens Peter Pedersen. Automorphism groups of Ree type, Deligne-Lusztig curves and function fields. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 440:99–109, 1993.
- [9] J. W. P. Hirschfeld, G. Korchmáros, and F. Torres. *Algebraic curves over a finite field*. Princeton Series in Applied Mathematics. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2008.
- [10] Daniel M. Kane. Canonical projective embeddings of the Deligne-Lusztig curves associated to 2A_2 , 2B_2 , and 2G_2 . *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (4):1158–1189, 2016.
- [11] Jens Peter Pedersen. A function field related to the Ree group. In *Coding theory and algebraic geometry (Luminy, 1991)*, volume 1518 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 122–131. Springer, Berlin, 1992.
- [12] Hans-Georg Rück and Henning Stichtenoth. A characterization of Hermitian function fields over finite fields. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 457:185–188, 1994.
- [13] Friedrich Karl Schmidt. Zur arithmetischen Theorie der algebraischen Funktionen. II. Allgemeine Theorie der Weierstraßpunkte. *Math. Z.*, 45(1):75–96, 1939.
- [14] Dane C. Skabelund. New maximal curves as ray class fields over Deligne-Lusztig curves. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 146(2):525–540, 2018.
- [15] Karl-Otto Stöhr and José Felipe Voloch. Weierstrass points and curves over finite fields. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 52(1):1–19, 1986.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, URBANA, IL 61801, U.S.A.
E-mail address: skabelu2@illinois.edu